Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,263	WEI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maryam Monshipouri	1652
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Maryam Monshipouri</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Justin D. Karjala</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's represe	entative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>24,25 and 32-34</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: WO 00/63381.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the anticipatory role of SEQ ID NO:3 in above patent against the claimed invention was braught up to the attention of Mr. Karjala. In response Mr. Karjala agreed to cancel claims mentioned above. With respect to double patenting issue directed to current claims and those in patent No. 6,482,624, applicant agreed to file in a terminal disclaimer.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendment	iner agreed would render the claims s that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	er's signature, if required